IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

UNITE	D STATES OF AMERICA)	
VS.)	CASE NO.: 3:17-CR-156-M (01)
PEDRO ARREDONDO, Defendant.)))	
	ORDER ACCEPTING F UNITED STATES MAGIST	REPORT AND RECOMMI RATE JUDGE CONCERN	
Magistr U.S.C. Magistr Court a Indictr	t of the defendant, and the Report at rate Judge, and no objections thereto h § 636(b)(1), the undersigned District rate Judge concerning the Plea of Gui accepts the plea of guilty, and PED nent, that is, Conspiracy to Possess	nd Recommendation Concernaving been filed within fourth Judge is of the opinion that lty is correct, and it is hereby PRO ARREDONDO is her with Intent to Distribute a	ce Regarding Entry of a Plea of Guilty, the rning Plea of Guilty of the United States teen days of service in accordance with 28 t the Report and Recommendation of the vaccepted by the Court. Accordingly, the reby adjudged guilty of Count 1 of the Controlled Substance, a violation of 21 ordance with the Court's scheduling order.
	The defendant is ordered to remain i	in custody.	
	The Court adopts the findings of the United States Magistrate Judge by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released and should therefore be released under § 3142(b) or (c).		
	Upon motion, this matter shall be set for hearing before the United States Magistrate Judge who set the conditions of release for determination, by clear and convincing evidence, of whether the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).		
	The defendant is ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2). The defendant shall self-surrender to the United States Marshal no later than		
	☐ The Government has recommunity ☐ This matter shall be set for he of release for determination.	nood that a motion for acquite mended that no sentence of it earing before the United State by clear and convincing evi-	143(a)(2) because the Court finds tal or new trial will be granted, or imprisonment be imposed, and es Magistrate Judge who set the conditions idence, of whether the defendant is likely nunity if released under § 3142(b) or (c).
⊠	The defendant is not ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) because the defendant has filed a motion alleging that there are exceptional circumstances under § 3145(c) why he/she should not be detained under § 3143(a)(2). This matter shall be set for hearing before the United States Magistrate Judge who set the conditions of release for determination of whether it has been clearly shown that there are exceptional circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should not be detained under § 3143(a)(2), and whether it has been shown by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).		
	SIGNED this 13 th day of November,	2017. SARBARA M. G. LYNN CHIEF JUDGE	GLynn